



Appendix 2A



Appendix 2A

Regional Transportation Plan Advisory Committee (RTPAC) Meetings

Background

Public involvement is critical to the success of the Regional Transportation Plan process as the input associated with the development of the documents is intended to create an open process that reflects the values of the region’s residents. Additionally, Regional Transportation Planning Agencies are required to implement a public involvement process that includes opportunities for private and public operators of transit and freight, tribal governments, local communities, the general public, and other interested/affected parties (Title 23 Sec. 134(g) (4); Title 23 Sec 135 (e); and Title 23 CFR 450.316 (b) (1) (c)). The completed transportation plan should include the appropriate and desired actions to establish the framework for an effective transportation system that meets the needs of the areas’ residents, is coordinated with neighboring regions, and considers all the potential transportation users.

EDCTC continues to utilize an RTP Advisory Committee (RTP AC) as a focal point of our public involvement process because of the positive results achieved through the RTP processes conducted in previous updates. The RTP AC was involved in the development of the Policy Element, Action Element, and Financial Element of the RTP. The RTP AC was also made aware of the development of the RTP EIR.

The RTP planning process began in November of 2023 when the Commission ratified the membership of the Regional Transportation Plan Advisory Committee (RTPAC). The advisory committee includes diverse representatives from the private sector, citizen organizations, interest groups, and government organizations. **Table 1** displays the RTP AC meeting dates.

The matrix in **Table 2** represents the organizations and entities ratified by the Commission. However, EDCTC staff was flexible throughout the RTP process to add additional interested parties and consistently updated the contact list to ensure effective participation. RTP AC meetings were well attended with nearly 30 people attending each of the meetings over the course of the plans’ development.

TABLE 1		
RTP 2025-2045 RTP AC Meeting Schedule		
MEETING	DATE	MEETING TYPE
RTPAC Meeting 1	Jan 30, 2024	Advisory Committee
RTPAC Meeting 2	April 17, 2024	Advisory Committee
RTPAC Meeting 3	Jul 1, 2024	Advisory Committee
RTPAC Meeting 4	Oct 23, 2024	Advisory Committee



TABLE 2 El Dorado County 2025-2045 Regional Transportation Plan Advisory Committee Membership Matrix		
Government Organizations		
El Dorado County DOT	El Dorado Transit	City of Placerville
El Dorado Hills CSD	Cameron Park CSD	Airports
EDC Health and Human Services Agency	EDC Parks and Trails	EDC Environmental Management
EDC Air Quality Management	Caltrans District 3	Tahoe Regional Planning Agency
SACOG	EDC Office of Education	Shingle Springs Rancheria
Tahoe Transportation District	Emergency Services – Police and Fire	Federal Land Management Agencies
Historic Districts		
Citizen Organizations and Interest Groups		
Agritourism: Winery Association, Apple Hill Growers	Chambers of Commerce	Trucking/Goods Movement
Pedestrian Advocate	Senior Groups: Commission on Aging	Taxpayers Association
Bicycle/Trails Advocate	Youth Representative	Development Community
Rural Advocate	Transit Rider	Surveyor, Architect, and Engineer Organizations
El Dorado Hills Business Park	Business Associations	Diamond Springs and El Dorado Community Advisory Committee
Cameron Park Design Review Committee		

The meeting agendas, summaries, and links to presentations follow.



2828 Easy Street Suite 1, Placerville, CA 95667 | 530.642.5260 | www.edctc.org

2025-2045 REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING #1

Time: Jan 30, 2024, 2:30 PM Pacific Time (US and Canada)

Join Zoom Meeting

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/89492462992?pwd=V3BGWnF6UUUvaytoVEs3Y1AwQkkxdz09>

Meeting ID: 894 9246 2992

Passcode: 169217

Agenda

1. **What is a Regional Transportation Plan?**
2. **State and Federal Policy and Funding Overview**
 - Climate Action Plan for Transportation Infrastructure (CAPTI)
 - State Climate Change Goals
 - Caltrans System Investment Strategy - CSIS
 - CSIS Metrics: Safety, VMT, Accessibility, DACs/Access to Jobs/Destinations, DAC traffic impacts, Passenger Mode Shift, Land Use and Natural Resources, Freight Sustainability and Efficiency
 - SB1 – Senate Bill 1
 - Programs Include: Active Transportation Program (ATP), Solutions for Congested Corridors (SCCP), Local Partnership Program (LPP)
 - IIJA – Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act
3. **Transit – Declining ridership, starting to rebound, emphasis on funding from the state**
 - Climate Burden on Transit
 - Emerging Transit Fund Sources – SB 125, TIRCP, ZETCP
4. **Modal Shift - GHG Reduction Emphasis at Statewide Level, Regional GHG Emission Reduction Targets**
 - SACOG MTP/SCS
 - Managed Lanes and Alternative Modes as opposed to Roadway Capacity Expansion
5. **Resiliency – Wildfire Evacuation Preparedness**
 - Greater Placerville Wildfire Evacuation Preparedness, Community Safety, and Resiliency Study
 - US 50 Trip to Green in Placerville
6. **Equity/Environmental Justice/Justice 40 Emphasis**
 - Next Generation Transportation Investments Strategy
7. **Next Steps**

Summary:

The first meeting provided an overview of the planning process and issues facing transportation in both California and El Dorado County.

The agenda, presentation, and recording of RTPAC meeting #1 can be found here: [\[link\]](#)



2828 Easy Street Suite 1, Placerville, CA 95667 | 530.642.5260 | www.edctc.org

2025-2045 REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING #2

Time: Apr 17, 2024, 01:00 PM Pacific Time (US and Canada)

Join Zoom Meeting

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/85739300740?pwd=dE93bllHK3dtM3QwU01lZ0grbEJOQT09>

Meeting ID: 857 3930 0740

Passcode: 627247

Agenda

- 1. What is a Regional Transportation Plan?**
- 2. 2025-2045 Demographic Data**
- 3. 2025-2045 Socioeconomic Data**
- 4. RTP 2025-2045 Vision Statement**
- 3. Draft RTP Goals, Objectives, and Strategies**
- 4. Next Steps**

The second RTPAC meeting was held virtually on April 17, 2024. At the meeting members discussed the Draft Vision, Goals, Objectives, and Strategies which establish the policy framework for project selection and prioritization in the RTP.

The agenda, presentation, and recording of RTPAC meeting #2 can be found here: [\[link\]](#)



2828 Easy Street Suite 1, Placerville, CA 95667 | 530.642.5260 | www.edctc.org

2025-2045 REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING

RTP Advisory Committee Meeting #3

Time: Jul 1, 2024, 02:00 PM Pacific Time (US and Canada)

Join Zoom Meeting

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/82520818152?pwd=bEgHYmHYtSzF79nTwRazYwsC4bH8u9.1>

Meeting ID: 825 2081 8152

Passcode: 927280

Agenda

5. Welcome
6. Transportation Investments Study Questionnaire Results Overview
7. Emerging Transportation Trends and Impacts in El Dorado County
8. Upcoming outreach tools for projects – Social Pinpoint
9. Preview of Draft Roadway and Operations Project List
10. Next Steps

At RTPAC #3 the committee was presented with preliminary results from a Transportation Investments Survey. Emerging trends in transportation in El Dorado County was discussed, as well as a preliminary discussion about the project lists for Streets, Highways, System Operations and Management, and Intelligent Transportation Systems.

The agenda, presentation, and recording of RTPAC meeting #3 can be found here: [\[link\]](#)



2828 Easy Street Suite 1, Placerville, CA 95667 | 530.642.5260 | www.edctc.org

2025-2045 REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING

RTP Advisory Committee Meeting #4

Time: Oct 23, 2024. 02:00 PM Pacific Time (US and Canada)

Join Zoom Meeting

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/83478224344?pwd=zi58nfiu6tfuzuNDpcsA9P0tau7sRb.1>

Meeting ID: 834 7822 4344

Passcode: 453889

Agenda

11. Welcome
12. Intro to Social Pinpoint Interactive Mapping Tool
13. Other Elements of the RTP in Development
14. Timeline to Environmental Impact Report and Draft Plan
15. Social Pinpoint Tool Demonstration

At RTPAC #4 the committee was presented with information about the Social Pinpoint Public Engagement Tool that is being launched to gather input on Roadway, Pedestrian, Bicycle, and Transit Projects. EDCTC staff provided an overview of the tool including its role in the planning process and functionality. Committee members were made aware that after the meeting they will be e-mailed the link and instructional information on how to share the tool to the broader members of their agencies and organizations. The committee was also presented with a timeline of the RTP process including the next steps which include preparation of the Programmatic Environmental Impact Report.

The agenda, presentation, and recording of RTPAC meeting #4 can be found here: [\[link\]](#)



Appendix 2B



2828 Easy Street Suite 1, Placerville, CA 95667 | 530.642.5260 | www.edctc.org

March 3, 2025

The Honorable Regina Cuellar, Chairwoman
Shingle Springs Rancheria
PO Box 1340
Shingle Springs, CA 95682

RE: Formal Notification Pursuant to Assembly Bill 52 (Public Resources Code 21080.3.1) for the Proposed 2025-2050 El Dorado County Regional Transportation Plan (RTP)

The El Dorado County Transportation Commission (EDCTC) is updating the El Dorado County Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) for 2025-2050. This update is subject to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), which requires an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for projects that may significantly impact the environment. The EIR will evaluate new information and changes since the previous RTP (2020-2040). Because specific project details are not yet finalized, further environmental analysis will be conducted as needed when individual projects undergo discretionary approvals.

EDCTC serves as the state-designated Regional Transportation Planning Agency (RTPA) for El Dorado County, excluding the Tahoe Basin, which falls under the Tahoe Regional Planning Organization. EDCTC coordinates regional transportation efforts for the western slope of El Dorado County and the City of Placerville. Our commission consists of nine members, including seven elected officials—three from the City of Placerville and four from the El Dorado County Board of Supervisors. Additionally, two non-voting advisory members represent Caltrans District 3 and the City of South Lake Tahoe.

As part of the CEQA cultural resources review, we are requesting any information you are willing to share regarding cultural resources, particularly those classified as Tribal Cultural Resources under Public Resources Code (PRC) Section 21074. This includes sites, features, cultural landscapes, sacred places, and objects of cultural value to any federally recognized California Native American Tribe. We deeply respect the sensitivity of this information and will maintain confidentiality as provided under California Government Code Section 6254.10. Your assistance will help ensure these resources are protected to the fullest extent possible.

This letter also serves as a formal invitation for Shingle Springs Rancheria to consult with EDCTC under Assembly Bill 52 (AB 52), pursuant to PRC Section 21080.3.1. If the Tribe wishes to engage in formal consultation, please notify us in writing within 30 calendar days of receiving this notice. Upon receipt of your request, we will initiate the consultation process within 30 days.

If EDCTC does not receive a written request within the specified timeframe, the formal consultation process under PRC Section 21080.3.1(b) will not proceed. However, we remain committed to working collaboratively to identify and manage important cultural resources. This notification does not prevent the Tribe from providing input at a later stage, as permitted under PRC Section 21080.3.2(c)(1).

Additionally, please note that the Sacramento Area Council of Governments (SACOG) is concurrently updating the Metropolitan Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy

(MTP/SCS), known as the 2025 Blueprint. Because EDCTC's RTP and SACOG's Blueprint must align in demographic data, financial assumptions, project investments, and air quality modeling, SACOG may have also reached out regarding consultation on this related effort.

For any questions, please contact Jerry Barton, Senior Transportation Planner, at (530) 642-5260 or jbarton@edctc.org.

Sincerely,



**Jerry Barton, Senior Transportation Planner
El Dorado County Transportation Commission
2828 Easy Street, Suite 1,
Placerville, CA 95667,**

Cc: Kacey Lizon, SACOG
Clint Holtzen, SACOG
Alex Cole-Weiss, SACOG



April 22, 2025

SUBJECT: El Dorado County RTP - AB 52 Tribal Consultation - Zoom Call Notes

PARTICIPANTS: Jerry Barton (Senior Planner, El Dorado County Transportation Commission), Woodrow Deloria (Executive Director, El Dorado County Transportation Commission), Kara Perry (Site Protection Manager, Cultural Resources Department, Shingle Springs Band of Miwok Indians), Steve McMurtry (Principal, De Novo Planning Group),

ZOOM CALL NOTES (SUMMARY):

Jerry: Jerry provided introductions and provided some background details on the El Dorado County Transportation Commission (EDCTC). Jerry discussed the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) and its purpose, and elaborated on the role and purpose of EDCTC. Jerry introduced Steve as an EIR consultant for EDCTC and indicated that he would provide additional info.

Steve: Steve provided additional background on the RTP, including a discussion of the RTP being a planning document that looks at transportation investments for the whole of the County from a high-level (20,000-foot view). Steve noted that this is a programmatic approach to the analysis, which is differentiated from a project-level analysis anticipated for individual projects such as a development project. Those project-level analyses would be focused on a defined construction footprint that will have physical impacts that can be defined and analyzed once the individual project is engineered. Steve described the RTP as a document that is updated every 5 years. He mentioned that Native American Consultation occurred with the Shingle Springs Band of Miwok Indians during the 2020 RTP Update. Steve discussed the individual future actions that would be required at the project level, once project delivery is initiated and RTP projects are ready for funding and construction. Steve mentioned that individual projects would typically be implemented by the local land use authorities, which includes El Dorado County and the City of Placerville, but that some would also be implemented by Caltrans when the improvement is associated with the State highway system. Steve mentioned that the EIR will provide information on the history and prehistory of the region, and that this information should be like what was documented in the 2020 EIR. Steve also mentioned that the EIR will include mitigation that is intended to direct agencies that implement the transportation projects to follow certain mitigation strategies and standards.

Kara: Kara stated that she is concerned that past comments that Shingle Springs Band of Miwok Indians (SSBMI) provided to El Dorado County regarding development projects were not incorporated into the EIR for those projects, and she is concerned that the same will happen with this EIR with comments that SSBMI provides to EDCTC.

Woody: Woody clarified that the EDCTC is not a land use authority like El Dorado County, and is not responsible for approving development projects. Woody emphasized that EDCTC is a separate and independent agency focused on transportation investments for the region, and that EDCTC would welcome a partnership with SSBMI. Woody explained that EDCTC is primarily a funding programming agency and that they conduct early transportation planning in cooperation with the land use authorities so that they can receive funding to deliver transportation projects. Woody explained that EDCTC has an open-door policy, and working together they would welcome the opportunity to work



together to investigate ways that transportation plans could be programed to meet the transportation needs of the SSBMI.

Steve: Steve explained that any comments that SSBMI provides will be incorporated into the EIR in two ways. Any letter would be included as an appendix to the EIR, and the text of the Cultural Resources section of the EIR would include a discussion of the consultation with SSBMI, as well as information or mitigation recommendations or other information provided by SSBMI. Steve indicated that we can receive comment from SSBMI verbally today if there are any to provide, or formally in a letter later.

Kara: Kara stated that she was glad to know that EDCTC has an open-door policy and that her comments would be considered in the EIR. She expressed that her concerns are mainly with individual projects. She described her region of concern as a group of small districts that includes El Dorado County, but also goes beyond the county line. She requested information regarding the previous consultation process that occurred in 2020.

Steve: Steve stated that he can look up the previous information, and can provide the Cultural Resources section of the 2020 EIR to Kara. Steve emphasized that in the letter from SSBMI they should avoid providing any detailed sensitive information on sacred sites or other important tribal sites. Steve mentioned that such information is protected from the public domain and should not be provided in the EIR, which is a public document. Steve mentioned that if there was any information that seemed sensitive, that EDCTC would likely choose to redact the information that they perceive as sensitive to ensure the information is protected from the public.

Kara: Kara confirmed that they would not provide information on sites for the programmatic level analysis, but that it would be more relevant at a project level in the future.

Jerry: Jerry described the outreach process that has occurred through the RTP Update, and described a tool that was developed and is available on the EDCTC website. Jerry offered to bring the tool up on the Zoom call, or to just send a link where it may be accessed by Kara. Jerry mentioned that this tool may help the SSBMI understand the general location of some of the individual projects that are being programed and how they related to tribal sites.

Kara: Kara confirmed that providing a link to the tool would be sufficient.

Steve: Steve discussed the next steps, describing the opportunities for the SSBMI to be involved. Steve indicated that an EIR would be released for a 45-day review period in June or July. He indicated that EDCTC would send a Notice of Availability (NOA) which would indicate where the EIR could be downloaded. Steve indicated that a hard copy could also be made available in necessary. Steve indicated that this would be an opportunity to review the Cultural and Tribal sections of the EIR and provide written comment. Steve indicated that there would also be a hearing by the EDCTC Board where the SSBMI would have an opportunity to provide verbal comments. Steve indicated that it is anticipated to be completed by December, but then corrected by Jerry that it would be closer to September when the EIR is certified.

Kara: Kara indicated that she intended to talk with the Tribal Council and was interested in receiving the EIR when it was available.

Jerry: Jerry confirmed that Kara would receive a copy of the NOA when it is available.



Steve: Steve indicated that it would be best for Kara to provide her letter within the next 30 days for EDCTC to incorporate the letter and any consultation information into the EIR. He indicated that the plan is to release the EIR in June, but also indicated if a letter is not received before the EIR is released that Kara can still provide a letter to EDCTC and that it would be considered in later documents and at a hearing, but the timing would not allow it to be in the EIR.

Kara: Kara confirmed that she would be talking with the Tribal Council and would get back to us and that she looks forward to receiving the NOA.

All: Meeting concluded.