

Joni Rice

From: debbie@eldoradohillschamber.org
Sent: Wednesday, November 04, 2009 9:29 AM
To: edctc@edctc.org
Subject: Citizens' Advisory Committee Comment/Question Form

From: debbie@eldoradohillschamber.org
Subject: Citizens' Advisory Committee Comment/Question Form
Sent: 11/04/2009 at 09:29 AM from 69.12.226.98

Name: Debbie Manning

Address: PO Box

City, State, Zip: El Dorado Hills

Phone Number: 916-933-1335

What is the best way to contact you: E-mail

If you would like to be added to an e-mail distribution list for updates on this issue, check this box. Information will be sent via a blind copy for your privacy.:

Type your comments, questions, or request for information here: The El Dorado Hills Chamber of Commerce addressed the commission July 3, 2008 agreeing with Supervisor Dupray, that the current structure which allows Placerville 50% or more of the votes on the EDCTC when they have 7% of the County's population needs to be revisited. Today the Chamber continues it's support that the composition of the EDCTC needs to reflect not only fair representation of the El Dorado Hills community, but also agree that the representation should be balanced throughout the County.



Citizens' Advisory Committee
Town Hall Meeting

Feel free to use the reverse side if you need more room.

Name: Stanley Price Date: 11-2-09

The make-up of the commission has worked. As cities become incorporated, changes might be needed. Currently, the system is not broken, and does not need to be changed.

Joni Rice

From: Webmail crim [crim@innercite.com]
Sent: Thursday, October 29, 2009 7:09 AM
To: Joni Rice
Subject: Re:

Joni- I will not be attending the Nov.3 meeting. My thoughts on the subject is that I would be accepting of all of the County Supervisors on the Commission with the remaining from the City of Placerville. In my opinion this composition would serve the Western Slopes best diversified interests. Best
Regards- Eileen Crim

On 10/28/09, Joni Rice <jrice@edctc.org> wrote:

> Good Day!

>

>

>

> You have requested notification on meetings with regard to the El

> Dorado County Transportation Commission Citizen's Advisory Committee.

>

>

>

> The next, and last, Citizens' Advisory Committee Town Hall meeting

> will be held on Tuesday, November 3 in Cameron Park. I have attached

> the agenda for your reference.

>

>

>

> If you wish to be removed from this distribution list, please reply as
> such, and your e-mail address will be removed immediately.

>

>

>

> Kind Regards,

>

> Joni G. Rice

>

> Executive Assistant/Secretary to the Commission

>

> El Dorado County Transportation Commission

>

> 530-642-5260 phone

>

> 530-642-5266 fax

>

> www.edctc.org

>

>

Proposed Composition - El Dorado County Transportation Commission

Submitted by the Four Seasons Civic League

- Each County Supervisor serving a district wholly located on the "west slope" of the county (this is currently districts 1-4) will have one seat on the commission. Collectively, the BOS would have one alternate who would be the EDCDOT Director.
- Each qualifying incorporated city will have one seat on the commission filled by one of its elected city council members. Each city would have an alternate commissioner filled by another elected member of that city council.
- Each qualifying Community Services District (CSD) will have one seat on the commission filled by one of its elected board members. Each CSD would have an alternate commissioner filled by another elected member of that CSD board.
- Each qualifying Public Utilities District (PUD) will have one seat on the commission filled by one of its elected board members. Each PUD would have an alternate commissioner filled by another elected member of that PUD board.

City, CSD, PUD qualifiers:

- The district or city is located entirely on the "west slope".
- The district or city has at least 4000 registered voters (based on previous general election).
- For CSD's and PUDs', the district is located wholly in a non-incorporated part of the county.

South Lake Tahoe and Caltrans would continue to have their existing non-voting/advisory seats on the commission.

An important aspect of the proposed above composition is that all members of the commission are elected by their respective constituencies.

Why is there a requirement for a minimum number of registered voters to qualify a city, CSD, or PUD for a seat on the commission? There are several CSD's in the county with relatively few registered voters. There may also be some question about the 'wholly "west slope"' requirement. After the 2010 census results are known, and notwithstanding statutory requirements to the contrary, the 5th supervisorial district may need to expand onto the "west slope" to maintain equal district representation. Currently the 5th district has 15183 registered voters (lowest of the five districts) and the 2nd district has 26987 registered voters (highest of the five districts). It is unlikely that any of the current "west slope" districts would expand to include "east slope" constituents. Additional details are available at:

<http://elections.co.el-dorado.ca.us/district.fwx>

If the proposed composition was in place today, the commission would look like this:

| | |
|---------------------|-----------|
| Supervisors | - 4 seats |
| Placerville City | - 1 seat |
| Cameron Park CSD | - 1 seat |
| El Dorado Hills CSD | - 1 seat |
| Georgetown PUD | - 1 seat |
| Total | - 8 seats |

The proposed EDCTC composition would currently yield 8 seats. The number of seats will likely change over time as qualifying CSD's, PUD's, and cities are created.

The proposed composition is durable in that it will accommodate the addition of qualifying cities, CSD's or PUD's over time. While the new composition does not attain an ideal "one man, one vote" result, it does produce a Transportation Commission that is much more representative of all residents of the "west slope" of El Dorado County.